# Cultural Shock: Adjustment to New Cultural Environments<sup>1</sup>\*

KALERVO OBERG<sup>2</sup>

**Abstract** Culture shock tends to be an occupational disease of people who have been suddenly transplanted abroad. Like most ailments, it has its own symptoms, cause, and cure. Many missionaries have suffered from it. Some never recovered, and left their field. Some live in a constant state of such shock. Many recover beautifully. As will be clear from the implications of Dr. Oberg's article, the state of culture shock in which a Christian lives will have great bearing on his temperament and witness.

## Kulturschock: Anpassung an neue kulturelle Umgebungen

**Zusammenfassung** Der Kulturschock ist für gewöhnlich eine Berufserkrankung bei Menschen, die plötzlich ins Ausland versetzt werden. Wie die meisten Leiden hat es seine eigenen Symptome, Ursachen und Therapie. Viele Missionare litten darunter, von denen sich einige nicht erholen konnten und den Einsatzort verließen. Bei einigen hält der Schockzustand an, einige leben im Dauerzustand eines solchen Schocks, viele erholen sich vollständig. Der Zustand des Kulturschocks, in dem ein Christ lebt, hat einen großen Einfluss darauf wie er Zeugnis gibt. Dies wird durch die Ausführungen in Dr. Obergs Artikel deutlich.

**Keywords (Schlagwörter)** culture shock (Kulturschock) – stages of culture shock (Phasen des Kulturschocks) – symptoms (Symptome) – ethnocentrism (Ethnozentrismus) – honeymoon stage (Euphorie) – regression (Regression) – adjustment (Anpassung) – recovery (Erholung)

Culture shock is precipitated by the anxiety that results from losing all our familiar signs and symbols of social intercourse. These signs or cues include the thousand and one ways in which we orient ourselves to the situations of daily life: when to shake hands and what to say when we meet people, when and how to give tips, how to give orders to servants, how to make purchases, when to accept and when to refuse invitations, when to take statements seriously and when not. Now these cues which may be words, gestures, facial expressions, customs, or norms are acquired by all of us in the course of growing up and are as much a part of our culture as the language we speak or the beliefs we accept. All of us depend for our peace of mind and our efficiency on hundreds of these cues, most of which we do not carry on the level of conscious awareness.

Now when an individual enters a strange culture, all or most of these familiar cues are removed. He or she is like a fish out of water. No matter how broadminded or full of good will you may be, a series of props have been knocked from under you, followed by a feeling of frustration and anxiety. People react to the frustration in much the same way. First they *reject* the environment which causes the discomfort: "the ways of the host country are bad because they make us feel bad." When Americans or other foreigners in a strange land get together to grouse about the host country and its people—you can be sure they are suffering from culture shock. Another phase of culture shock is *regression*. The home environment suddenly assumes a tremendous importance. To an American everything American becomes irrationally glorified. All the difficulties and problems are forgotten and only the good things back home are remembered. It usually takes a trip home to bring one back to realitiy.

# Symptoms of Culture Shock

Some of the symptoms of culture shock are: excessive washing of the hands; excessive concern over drinking water, food, dishes, and bedding; fear of physical contact with attendants or servants; the absent-minded, far-away stare (sometimes called "the tropical stare"); a feeling of helplessness and a desire for dependence on long-term residents of one's own nationality; fits of anger over delays and other minor frustrations; delay and outright refusal to

<sup>\*</sup> Reprint aus OBERG K. 1960. Cultural Shock: Adjustment to New Cultural Environments. Practical Anthropology 7: 177-182.

learn the language of the host country; excessive fear of being cheated, robbed, or injured; great concern over minor pains and irruptions of the skin; and finally, that terrible longing to be back home, to be able to have a good cup of coffee and a piece of apple pie, to walk into that corner drugstore, to visit one's relatives, and, in general, to talk to people who really make sense.

Individuals differ greatly in the degree in which culture shock affects them. Although not common, there are individuals who cannot live in foreign countries. Those who have seen people go through culture shock and on to a satisfactory adjustment can discern steps in the process. During the first few weeks most individuals are fascinated by the new. They stay in hotels and associate with nationals who speak their language and are polite and gracious to foreigners. This honeymoon stage may last from a few days or weeks to six months depending on circumstances. If one is a very important person he or she will be shown the show places, will be pampered and petted, and in a press interview will speak glowingly about progress, good will, and international amity, and if he returns home he may well write a book about his pleasant if superficial experience abroad.

But this Cook's tour type of mentality does not normally last if the foreign visitor remains abroad and has seriously to cope with real conditions of life. It is then that the second stage begins, characterized by a hostile and aggressive attitude towards the host country. This hostility evidently grows out of the genuine difficulty which the visitor experiences in the process of adjustment. There is maid trouble, school trouble, language trouble, house trouble, transportation trouble, shopping trouble, and the fact that people in the host country are largely indifferent to all these troubles. They help but they just don't understand your great concern over these difficulties. Therefore, they must be insensible and unsympathetic to you and your worries. The result, "I just don't like them." You become aggressive, you band together with your fellow countrymen and criticize the host country, its ways, and its people. But this criticism is not an objective appraisal but a derogatory one. Instead of trying to account for conditions as they are through as honest analysis of the actual conditions and the historical circumstances which have created them, you talk as if the difficulties you experienced are more or less created by the people of the host country for your special discomfort. You take refuge in the colony of your countrymen and its cocktail circuit which often becomes the fountain-head of emotionally charged labels known as stereotypes. This is a peculiar kind of invidious shorthand which caricatures the host country and its people in a negative manner. The "dollargrasping American" and the "indolent Latin American" are samples of mild forms of stereotypes. The use of stereotypes may salve the ego of someone with a severe case of culture shock but it certainly does not lead to any genuine understanding of the host country and its people. This second stage of culture shock is in a sense a crisis in the disease. If you overcome it, you stay; if not, you leave before you reach the stage of a nervous breakdown.

If the visitor succeeds in getting some knowledge of the language and begins to get around by himself, he is beginning to open the way into the new cultural environment. The visitor still has difficulties but he takes a "this is my cross and I have to bear it" attitude. Usually in this stage the visitor takes a superior attitude to people of the host country. His sense of humor begins to exert itself. Instead of criticizing he jokes about the people and even cracks jokes about his or her own difficulties. He or she is now on the way to recovery. And there is also the poor devil who is worse off than yourself whom you can help, which in turn gives you confidence in your ability to speak and get around.

Is the fourth stage your adjustment is about as complete as it can be. The visitor now accepts the customs of the country as just another way of living. You operate within the new milieu without a feeling of anxiety although there are moments of strain. Only with a complete grasp of all the cues of social intercourse will this strain disappear. For a long time the individual will understand what the national is saying but he is not always sure what the national means. With a complete adjustment you not only accept the foods, drinks, habits, and customs, but actually begin to enjoy them. When you go on home leave you may even take things back with you and if you leave for good you generally miss the country and the people to whom you have become accustomed.

## **Nature of Culture Shock**

Now before going on to consider the nature of culture shock, it might be well to point out that the difficulties which the newcomer experiences are real. If individuals come to a tropical area from a temperate one they quite often suffer from intestinal disturbances. Strange food sometimes upsets people. In Rio, for instance, water and power shortages are very real. When these physical difficulties are added to those arising from not knowing how to communicate and the uncertainties presented by strange customs the consequent frustrations and anxieties are understandable. In the course of time, however, an individual makes his adjustment, you do what is essential about water, food, and the other minutiae of daily life. You adapt yourself to water and power shortages and to traffic problems. In short the environment does not change. What has changed is your attitude towards it. Somehow it no longer troubles you, you no longer project your discomforts onto the people of the host country and their ways. In short, you get along under a new set of living conditions.

Another important point worth considering is the attitude of others to a person suffering from culture shock. If you are frustrated and have an aggressive attitude to the people of the host country, they will sense this hostility and in many cases respond in either a hostile manner or try to avoid you. In other words, their response moves from a preliminary phase of ingratiation to aggressive ridicule and on to avoidance. To your own countrymen who are well adjusted you become somewhat of a problem. As you feel weak in the face of the host country people you tend to wish to increase your dependence on your fellow countrymen much more than is normal. Some will try to help you, others will try to avoid you. The better your fellow countryman understands your condition the better he is able to help you. But the difficulty is that culture shock has not been studied carefully enough for people to help you in an organized manner and you continue to be considered a bit queer—until you adjust yourself to the new situation. In general, we might say that until an individual has achieved a satisfactory adjustment he is not able to fully play his part on the job or as a member of the community. In a sense he is a sick person with a mild or severe case of culture shock as the case may be. Although I am not certain, I think culture shock affects wives more than husbands. The husband has his professional duties to occupy him and his activities may not differ too much from what he has been accustomed to. The wife, on the other hand, has to operate in an environment which differs much more from the milieu in which she grew up, consequently the strain on her is greater.

#### Culture and the Individual

In an effort to get over culture shock, I think there is some value in knowing something about the nature of culture and its relationship to the individual. In addition to living in a physical environment, an individual lives in a cultural environment consisting of man-made physical objects, social institutions, and ideas and beliefs. An individual is not born with culture but only with the capacity to learn it and use it. There is nothing in a newborn child which dictates that it should eventually speak Portuguese, English, or French, nor that he eat with a fork in his left hand rather than in the right, or use chopsticks. All these things the child has to learn. Nor are the parents responsible for the culture which they transmit to their young. The culture of any people is the product of history and is built up over time largely through processes which are, as far as the individual is concerned, beyond his awareness. It is by means of culture that the young learn to adapt themselves to the physical environment and to the people with whom they associate. And as we know, children and adolescents often experience difficulties in this process of learning and adjustment. But once learned, culture becomes a way of life, the sure, familiar, largely automatic way of getting what you want from your environment and as such it also becomes a value. People have a way of accepting their culture as both the best and the only way of doing things. This is perfectly normal and understandable. To this attitude we give the name ethnocentrism, a belief that not only the culture but the race and nation form the center of the world. Individuals identify themselves with their own group and its ways to the extent that any critical comment is taken as an affront to the individual as well as to the group. If you criticize my country you are criticizing me. If you criticize me you are criticizing my country. Along with this attitude goes the tendency to attribute all individual peculiarities as national characteristics. For instance, if an American does something odd or anti-social in a foreign country, that which back home would be considered a purely individual act is now considered a national trait. He acts that way not because he is Joe Doaks but because he is an American. Instead of being censured as an individual, his country is censured. It is thus best to recognize that ethnocentrism is a permanent characteristic of national groups. Even if a national criticize some aspect of his own culture the foreigner should listen but not enter into this criticism.

I mentioned above that specific cultures are the products of historical development and can be understood not by referring to the biological or psychological peculiarities of their human carriers but to an understanding of the antecedent and concomitant elements of the culture themselves. Brazil and the United States, for instance, have different cultural origins and different culture histories which account for present-day differences. In this case, however, the differences are not great, both cultures being parts of Western civilization. It might be useful to recognize here that the study of culture per se is not the study of individuals. Psychology is the study of individual personality. Sociology is the study of groups and group behaviour. The student of culture studies not human individuals but the interrelationship of cultural forms like technologies, institutions, idea and belief systems. In this paper we are interested not so much in the study of culture as such, but its impact upon the individual under special conditions.

Now any modern nation is a complex society with corresponding variations in culture. In composition it is made up of different ethnic groups, it is stratified into classes, it is differentiated into regions, it is separated into rural and urban settlements, each having its distinctive cultural characteristics. Yet superimposed upon these differences are the common elements of official language, institutions, and customs which knit it together to form a nation.

These facts indicate that it is not a simple matter to acquaint oneself with the culture of a nation. Similarly the culture of one's own nation is complex. It, too, differs by region and class. Americans, for instance, who go abroad in various governmental and business capacities, are usually members of the middle class and carry the values and aspirations of this class, some of which are an accent on the practical or utilitarian, work as a means to personal success, and suspicion of personal authority. Accustomed to work in large hierarchical institutions like business corporations, governmental agencies, or scientific foundations which have a life of their own and persist in time, Americans tend to become impersonal. Individuals no matter how able are replaceable parts in these large institutions. To Americans, personalism which emphasizes a special individual, like a political leader or a business leader or a religious leader, as solely responsible for the existence and success of an institution is somewhat strange. To the American it is the organization that counts and individual beings are judged according to their ability to fit into the mechanism. This difference in inter-personal relationships often becomes at least a minor shock. A new pattern has to be established which has to take into consideration class society, the symbols of individual status, the importance of family relationships, and the different importance given work, leisure, and the values people strive for.

#### **Recovery from Culture Shock**

The question now arises, What can you do to get over culture shock as quickly as possible? The answer is get to know the people of the host country. But this you cannot do with any success without knowing the language, for language is the principal symbol system of communication. Now we all know that learning a new language is difficult, particularly to adults. This task alone is quite enough to cause frustration and anxiety, no matter how skilful language teachers are in making it easy for you. But once you begin to be able to carry on a friendly conversation with your maid, your neighbour, or go on shopping trips alone you not only gain confidence and a feeling of power but a whole new world of cultural meanings opens up for you.

You begin to find out not only what and how people do things but also what their interests are. These interests, people usually express by what they habitually talk about and how they allocate their time and money. Once you know this value or interest pattern it will be quite easy to get people to talk and to be interested in you. When we say people have no interest, we usually admit the fact that we have not bothered to find out.

At times it is helpful to be a participant observer by joining the activities of the people, to try to share in their responses. Whether this be a carnival, a religious rite, or some economic activity.

Yet the visitor should never forget that he or she is an outsider and will be treated as such. He or she should view this participation as a role playing. Understanding the ways of people is essential but this does not mean that you have to give up your own. What happens is that you have developed two patterns of behaviour.

Finally a word on what your fellow countrymen can do to help you get over culture shock. It is well to recognize that persons suffering from culture shock feel weak in the face of conditions which appear insuperable, it is natural for them to try to lean heavily on their compatriots. They may be irritating to the long-term resident but he should be patient, sympathetic, and understanding. Although talking does not remove pain I think a great deal is gained by having the source of pain explained, some of the steps towards a cure indicated, and the assurance given that time, the great healer, will soon set things right.

## Notes added by curare

 Urquelle: OBERG präsentierte sein Phasenmodel unter dem Titel "Kulturschock" vor dem "Women's Club of Rio de Janeiro" am 3. August 1954. Eine weite Verbreitung fand der Artikel durch die nur geringfügig geänderte erneute Republikation im Jahre 1960 in *Practical Anthropology* unter dem Titel: "Cultural Shock—Adjustment to New Cultural Environments", die hier als die häufiger zitierte als Wiederabdruck vorliegt.

Die Zeitschrift *Practical Anthropology* erschien zwischen 1953 bis 1972. Es war eine Zeitschrift, die Missionaren und Bibelübersetzern anthropologisches Wissen vermitteln sollte und als Diskussionsforum diente. Nach 19 Jahren wurde die Publikation eingestellt und verschmolz mit der Zeitschrift *Missiology*, dem Journal der "American Society of Missiology".

Der Begriff "Kulturschock" stammt übrigens nicht von OBERG, wie häufig fälschlicherweise zitiert. OBERG verweist schon in seinem Vortrag von 1954 darauf hin, dass die Anthropologin Cora DUBOIS am 28 November1951 einen Vortrag mit dem Titel "Culture Shock" hielt, und zwar beim ersten "Midwest regional meeting of the Institute of International Education" in Chicago.

 Fussnote in *Practical Anthropology* 7: 177-182 (1960): Dr. Kalervo OBERG is an anthropologist who served with the United States Overseas Mission to Brasil. His article is reprinted with the permission from the Technical Assistance Quarterly Bulletin, a publication of the Technical Information Clearing House, 20 West 40th St., New York 18, New York.

> Redaktionelle Bearbeitung für *curare*: WOLFGANG & NORA KRAHL

Kalervo Oberg wurde 1901 als Sohn finnischer Eltern in Kanada geboren und migrierte später ganz in die USA über. Zunächst studierte er Ökonomie an der Universität von Britisch Columbia und an der Universität Pittsburgh. Später studierte er Anthropologie an der Universität Chicago unter anderem bei E. Sapir und A.R. Radcliffe-Brown. Seine Dissertation stellte die Ergebnisse seiner Feldforschung dar: *The Social Economy of the Tlingit Indians of Alaska*. Während seiner beruflichen Karriere als Anthropologe war er in Uganda, Ecuador und Brasilien tätig, außerdem in verschiedenen Staaten der USA. Mehr siehe: MCCOMB M. R. & FORSTER G. M. 1974. Kalervo Oberg 1901-1973. *American Anthropologist* 76,2: 357f.